

1, Gate Burton application should be judged based upon the policy statements that were in force at the time and not mixed with the emerging November 2023 policy.

The current policy appears to make no reference to solar based energy

The proposal shows total disregard to the negative effect on the landscape (being a Lincolnshire landmark / Jurassic Cliff / views across the Trent Valley) & adverse effects to the natural environment encompassing all living and non-living things occurring naturally.

All applications are naturally reviewed on the positives / benefits they would bring verses the negative facts and concerns of the population effected. In this case my view / research brings me to the conclusion the industrialisation and decimation of the area (60years) along with true performance of solar panels brings this question. A better alternative would be the National Policy to focus on developing a roof top strategy resulting in benefits high - negatives low

The Gate Burton development size is not a typical size as per National policy EN3 its 10 times larger!

Has the applicate demonstrated the process and research into developing lower class land for example brown, contaminated etc. The use of food producing land makes no sense, food is now classed as a weapon of war very much high lighted by events across the globe.

As a nation we need to becoming self sufficient not relying on others.

Solar has a part to play in the decarbonising process however it's not the most effective way compared to other forms of generation the positives are no where sufficient enough.

The project will take several years before any electricity becomes available by then other energy streams will be online outstripping solar generation with a far less impact on all those effected.